## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

| REGINALD G. BAKER,        | ) | 4:14CV3103 |
|---------------------------|---|------------|
| Plaintiff,                | ) |            |
| V.                        | ) | MEMORANDUM |
| v.                        | ) | AND ORDER  |
| LEIGH ANN RETELSDORF, TOM | ) |            |
| RILEY, BRENDA LEUCK, and  | ) |            |
| RYAN LINDBERG,            | ) |            |
|                           | ) |            |
| Defendants.               | ) |            |

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's correspondence dated January 8, 2016. (Filing No. <u>44</u>.) Plaintiff asks the court for a 10 percent reduction of his filing fee obligation.

The method for collecting the filing fee from a prisoner is specifically provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). Section 1915(b) is written in mandatory terms ("shall"), leaving no discretion to the district court to waive an in forma pauperis prisoner's filing fee. The statute provides that an indigent inmate who files a lawsuit in federal court must pay the \$350.00 filing fee, first by making an initial partial payment and then by sending the remainder of the fee to the court in installments. Specifically, after payment of the initial partial filing fee, "the prisoner *shall* be required to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the proceeding month's income credited to the prisoner's account." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's request for the court to reduce the amount of his filing fee obligation will be denied.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that: Plaintiff's request for a reduction in his filing fee obligation is denied. The clerk of the court is directed to term the motion event associated with Filing Number 44.

DATED this  $15^{th}$  day of January, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Kopf Senior United States District Judge

<sup>\*</sup>This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.